



# Selcuk Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences

# Determining the Effectiveness of Mycorrhizal Inoculation on Increasing the Resistance of Vetch against Different Doses of Nickel

Aysen Akay<sup>1\*</sup>, Emel Karaarslan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Agriculture Faculty, Selcuk University, 42075, Konya, Turkey

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 January 2015 Accepted 21 July 2015

Keywords: Vetch (*Vicia sativa*) Nickel Mycorrhiza Inoculation

## ABSRACT

In the present study, the effect of different doses of Ni application on certain growth parameters and nickel accumulation was investigated in vetch (Vicia sativa) plant. In the study, which was carried out as a pot experiment under greenhouse conditions, Ni was applied to vetch plant at doses of 0-25-50 mg Ni kg<sup>-1</sup>. In the study, it was also investigated whether mycorrhizal inoculation, which is known to be effective in increasing the resistance of plants against heavy metal toxicity, had an effect on increasing the resistance of vetch.For this purpose, Glomus mosseae type mycorrhiza in the form of mycorrhiza (+) and mycorrhiza (-) was inoculated as 500 spores per pot during sowing. In the experiment that was carried out under controlled conditions in sand culture, Hoagland solution was regularly given to the plants. Plant height measurements were taken for 4 weeks during the experiment. Furthermore, chlorophyll content, plant shoot and root weight (g), single root weight (g), root length (m g<sup>-1</sup> root), leaf relative water content (%), the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> released from the growth medium, inoculation rate in the plant roots and hypha (%), vesicle and arbuscle numbers were determined in the plants that were harvested at the beginning of flowering. According to the variance analyses conducted on the data obtained as the result of the study, it was found out that mycorrhizal inoculation did not have an effect on the given parameters, nickel negatively affected plant development but this state was not found to be statistically significant.

# 1. Introduction

Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi are associated with the majority at the terrestrial plants (Quilambo 2003).Heavy metals(HM) are among the most toxic inorganic substances which have contaminated large area of land due to use of sludge, pesticides, fertilizers, and emissions from municipal waste incinerators, car exhausts, residues from metalliferous mines, and smelting industries. Optimum concentrations of the some metal ions such as Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn and Ni are taking part in redox reactions, electron transfers, a multitude of enzyme catalyzed reactions in various cellular metabolism. But the toxic concentration of the some essential metals or the nonessential ions of Cd, Hg, Pb, Ag, As, Al, etc. are strongly poisonous to metal-sensitive enzymes, resulting in growth inhibition and death of the organism (Upadhyaya et al. 2010).

Mycorrhiza is the mutualistic symbiotic association (non-pathogenic) of a specific group of soil-borne fungi with the roots of higher plants (Sieverding 1991). Plant receives support from AM fungi, with the help of its symbiotic association, in the aspect of uptake of phosphorus and other nutrients, enhancement of growth hormones, increase of protein content, increase of lipid, sugars, amino acid levels, increase of tolerance to heavy metals, increase of salinity tolerance, and resistance to root-borne pathogens (Salt et al. 1995). Mycorrhizae have also been reported in plants growing on HM-contaminated sites indicating that these fungi have evolved a HM-tolerance and that they may play a role in the phytoremediation of the site.

Phytoremediation, a sustainable and inexpensive technology based on the removal of pollutants including heavy metal from the environment by plants. However, phytoremediation is a slow process (Ouziad et al. 2005).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author email: <u>aakay@selcuk.edu.tr</u>

AM fungi can also act as a filtration barrier against transfer of heavy metals to plant shoots. The protection and enhanced capability of uptake of minerals result in greater biomass production, which is an important criteria for successful remediation. Indigenous AM isolates existing naturally in heavy metal-polluted soils are more tolerant than isolates from nonpolluted soils, and are reported to efficiently colonize plant roots in heavy metalstressed environments (Hrishikesh et al. 2010).

It will be important in future to include the AM symbiosis in the design of both research plans and applications, with the ultimate goal of increasing the efficiency of phytoremediation. Galli et al. (1994) suggested that mycorrhizae can play a crucial role in protecting plants roots from heavy metals. Various authors have reported isolating spores of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal texa such as Glomus and Gigaspora associated with most of the plants growing in heavy metal polluted habitats (Raman et al. 1993; Raman and Sambandan 1998; Chaudry et al. 1999). Weissenhorn and Leyval (1995) isolated only Glomus mosseae and Duek et al. (1986) isolated Glomus fasciculatum alone from the heavy metal polluted soils. Pawlowska et al. (1996) surveyed a calamine spoil mound rich in Cd, Pb and Zn in Poland and recovered spores of Glomus aggregatum, G. fasciculatum and Entrophospora spp. from the mycorrhizospheres of the plants growing on spoil.

Nickel hyperaccumulation was found by Minguzzi and Vergano (1948) in Alyssum bertolonii from serpentine soils in Italy, with up to 10,000  $\mu$ g Ni/g DW (1%). Shallari (1997) was investigated the availability and the potential of hyper accumulator Alyssum murale to extract nickel from pools in the soil that are not available to plant. The occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) in nickel-(Ni)-hyperaccumulating plants of the Asteraceae family growing on Ni-enriched ultramafic soils in South Africa was surveyed. All plants were found to be consistently colonised by AM fungi, with the abundant formation of arbuscules. Inoculation of B. coddii with Glomus intraradices (BEG) was successful, but only plants with abundantly developed arbuscules showed increased yield. In other cases, shoot biomass was similar to noninoculated plants (Turnau et al. 2003).

Jamal et al. (2002) indicate that mycorrhize can be used as effective tools to supply sufficient Zn in generally Zn-deficient Pakistani soils and to ameliorate the toxicity of trace metals in polluted soils. The contents of Ni in mycorrhizal soybean plant tissues were higher than those in the mycorrhizal lentil plant tissues. Ker and Charest (2010) have reported that the AM colonization significantly increased the glutamine synthetase (GS) activity in roots, this being likely an indicator of an enhanced Ni tolerance. These findings support the hypothesis that AM symbiosis contributes to an enhanced Ni plant uptake and tolerance and should be considered as part of phytoremediation strategies.

Vivas *et al.* (2006) were researched co-inoculation of *Trifolium repens* L. (white clover) with an indigenous

Ni-adapted AM strain of *Glomus mosseae* and a Niadapted bacterium (*Brevibacillus* sp.). These results suggest that selected bacterial inoculation improved the mycorrhizal benefit in nutrients uptake and in decreasing Ni toxicity.

It is primarily necessary to select the suitable types of plants in order to decontaminate heavy metal-polluted soils through phytoremediation. Furthermore, as mentioned in several studies, certain types of mycorrhizae help plants to develop resistance against heavy metal toxicity. Taking all these into consideration, the effect of *G.mosseae* spore type mycorrhizal inoculation on the germination, growth and development of vetch (*Vicia sativa*) plants in different doses Ni were investigated in the present study.

#### 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Media preparation

In this study sand was used as growing media. According to the randomized plots experimental design with three replications. After weighing the sand and filling the pots, the pots were inoculated with mycorrhiza spores at a depth of 5 cm from the surface. Ni was applied to vetch plant at doses of 0-25-50 mg Ni kg<sup>-1</sup>. Experiment were set up using vetch seed (*Vicia sativa* L.; Tamkoc-2000 type ) in a pot experiment under greenhouse conditions. During the period of plant growing the plants was watered with Hoagland solution. The general analysis of the sand was carried by KLD Analytical Laboratories (Table 1).

# Table 1

The analysis results of sand media used in the experiment

Sand Properties		
pH (1:2.5)	7.46	
EC (1:5 $\mu$ S cm <sup>-1</sup> )	479	
Organic matter (%)	0.125	
$CaCO_3(\%)$	10.05	
Ca (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	4185	
$P(mg kg^{-1})$	3.00	
Na (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	45.08	
K <sub>2</sub> O (meq 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.174	
Mg (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	216.7	
Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.87	
Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.345	
Mn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	8.677	
Zn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.216	

#### 2.2. Mycorrhizal inoculation and glasshouse experiment

The *Glomus mosseae* type mycorrhiza spore used in the experiment. The added of *Mycorrhiza* spores into the each of the pots as 50 spore 10 gr soil<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.3. Plant height (cm):

Each plant was measured from the soil surface to top of the plant.

#### 2.4. Plant weight and root weight (g):

All plants harvested, cleaned and cut from the roots were weigthed. The avarege value was found by taking the arithmetic mean.

# 2.5. Root lengt ( $m g^{-1}$ )

In order to estimating the total root length we have used grind intercepts methods and using Tennant's formula (Tennant 1965).

## 2.6. Leaf relative water content (RWC) (%)

The content was determined according to Barrs and Weatherley (1962).

#### 2.7. Chlorophyll content

A chlorophyll meter SPAD-502 also popularly known as spadmeter was used (Minolta Corporation, Japan).

# 2.8. The rate of soil respiration (mgCO<sub>2</sub> 100g soil 24h<sup>-1</sup>)

Soil respiration was measured as CO2 evolution after 24 h of incubation period at 25°C, in darkness, with moisture content adjusted at 50% of water holding capacity, according to Isermeyer (1952). Data were expressed as mg CO<sub>2</sub> 100 g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil.

#### 2.9. Determination of VAM infection and counting spor

After harvesting, roots were collected and root samples were washed carefully with deionizer water, and then preserved in a mixture of ethanol, glacial acetic acid and formalin with a proportion of 92:2:6 (v/v). Root clearing and staining was done according to the method described by Koske and Gemma (1989). Entire, cleared with KOH (10%) and stained with Trypan blue (0.05%) with the lactophenol being changed to lactoglycerol. Naturally dark pigmented roots were cleared with 10% hydrogen peroxide for one hour before the staining with Trypan blue.

The percentage of root colonization was calculated by the gridline intersect method and, when the amount of roots was low, by the slide method. The percentage of AM colonisation was calculated as the number of segments infected out of 100 segments that were examined under a stereo microscope at 40X magnification (Giovannetti and Mosse 1980).

## 2.10. Spore extraction and quantification

After harvesting each soil sample (10 g fresh mass) in pots was sieved according to the sieving and decanting procedure of Gerdemann and Nicolson (1963). The sieving was centrifuged at 3500 rpm (10 g) for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 50% sucrose and centrifuged again at 3500 rpm (10 g) for 1 min. After the centrifuging process, the supernatant was poured on to a 50-100  $\mu$ m sieve, washed thoroughly with deionized water and then placed in a 9 cm petri-dish for examination under a stereomicroscope (x40).Spores and debris were collected on 50-100  $\mu$ m sieves with tap water. Some spores were tightly grouped in sporocarps and it was difficult to count the number of spores per sporocarps, so, to simplify the procedure, we referred to a sporocarp as one spore (Zhao et. al. 2003).

#### 2.11. Sterilization

The sand were used as growing media was autoclaved for sterilization at 121°C during 120 min. Also vetch seed was sterilized with NaClO 0.1 %.

## 2.12. Statistical analysis

The data obtained through the measurements were statistically analyzed using Minitab and Mstat software.

# 3. Results and Discussions

The present study was conducted in order to examine the effects of applications with and without mycorrhiza inoculation with three different levels of Ni on common vetch plants in sand media. In the study, significant differences were observed both between the VAM(+) and VAM(-) applications and among Ni levels regarding plant height, leaf chlorophyll content, plant shoot weight, root weight, root heigh, leaf relative water content, rate of soil respiration, mycorrhizal vesicule-arbuscule and hypha rates in plant roots (P<0.01 and P<0.05). The applications of VAM(+) and VAM(-) and different levels of Ni didn't effected soil respiration rate of (mg CO<sub>2</sub> 100g soil 24 h<sup>-1</sup>) growing vetch plant(Table 2,3a,3b).

Mycorrhizal vesicule rate, root heigh, plant shoot weight, root weight and leaf relative water content of vetch increased in the third of Ni doses and second (+VAM) growth medium compared to the other doses and media. The first doses of Ni(Ni<sub>0</sub>) was more effective on mycorrhizal hypha rates, leaf chlorophyll contents and single root weight than other Ni doses, Nevertheless these parameters were found to be +VAM higher than -VAM .

The highest value of medium plant height (29.94 cm) and soil respiration rate (3.46 mg CO<sub>2</sub> 100g soil 24 h<sup>-1</sup>) in vetch were obtained at second dose of Ni. The highest values of root height were obtained at Ni<sub>3</sub> doses and second media (-VAM), respectively 508.38 m g<sup>-1</sup> and 464.63 m g<sup>-1</sup>. Also it can be seen that the highest values in vetch were generally obtained in the first media (+VAM) and third of Ni doses (Table 2).

1 ao 10 2	Tabl	le	2
-----------	------	----	---

The effect of mycorrhizae and different Ni doses on the growth of vetch

Doses of Ni (mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Vesicule rate (%)	Arbuscule rate (%)	Hypha rate (%)	Root length (m g <sup>-1</sup> )
$Ni_{0}(0)$	11.12	0	6.67	388.34
Ni <sub>1</sub> (25)	10.01	0	0.00	389.89
Ni <sub>2</sub> (50)	13.34	0	0.00	508.38
Growing media				
VAM+	22.97	0	4.45	393.11
VAM-	0	0	0.00	464.63
Ni applications	ns	Ns	Ns	ns
Mycorrrhiza	**	Ns	Ns	ns
Ni*Mycorrrhiza Interaction	ns	Ns	Ns	ns

Table 3a

The effect of mycorrhiza and different Ni doses on some plant properties of vetch

Doses of Ni (mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Plant length I	Plant length II	Plant length III	Plant length IV	Leaf chlor. Content	Plant shoot weight (g)
$Ni_0(0)$	22.86	27.58	33.06	33.50	11.05	1.77 b
Ni <sub>1</sub> (25)	23.56	28.34	33.09	34.78	9.52	1.75 b
Ni <sub>2</sub> (50)	23.42	28.39	32.58	34.00	9.13	2.10 a
LSD P<0.05	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*
Growing media						
VAM+	23.50	27.48	32.22	34.11	11.54	1.93
VAM-	23.05	28.72	33.59	34.07	8.26	1.82
Doses of Ni		Single Root	Root length	Leaf relative water	r Soil respiration rate	
	$\mathbf{P}$ oot woight $(\mathbf{q})$	0	ç			-
(mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Root weight (g)	weight (g)	(m/g)	content (%)	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> )
(mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> ) Ni <sub>0</sub> (0)	Root weight (g)	weight (g) 0.26	(m/g) 63.50	content (%) 75.35	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> ) 2.02
(mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> ) Ni <sub>0</sub> (0) Ni <sub>1</sub> (25)	Root weight (g) 1.78 1.82	weight (g) 0.26 0.13	(m/g) 63.50 47.00	content (%) 75.35 75.21	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> ) 2.02 3.46
(mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> ) Ni <sub>0</sub> (0) Ni <sub>1</sub> (25) Ni <sub>2</sub> (50)	Root weight (g) 1.78 1.82 2.14	weight (g) 0.26 0.13 0.11	(m/g) 63.50 47.00 54.17	content (%) 75.35 75.21 77.06	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> ) 2.02 3.46 2.97
$\begin{array}{c} (\text{mg Ni kg}^{-1}) \\ \hline \text{Ni}_0 (0) \\ \text{Ni}_1 (25) \\ \text{Ni}_2 (50) \\ \text{LSD P} < 0.05 \end{array}$	Root weight (g) 1.78 1.82 2.14 ns	weight (g) 0.26 0.13 0.11 ns	(m/g) 63.50 47.00 54.17 ns	content (%) 75.35 75.21 77.06 ns	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> ) 2.02 3.46 2.97 ns
$\begin{array}{c} (\text{mg Ni kg}^{-1}) \\ \hline \text{Ni}_0(0) \\ \text{Ni}_1(25) \\ \text{Ni}_2(50) \\ \text{LSD P}{<}0.05 \\ \text{Growing media} \end{array}$	Root weight (g) 1.78 1.82 2.14 ns	weight (g) 0.26 0.13 0.11 ns	(m/g) 63.50 47.00 54.17 ns	content (%) 75.35 75.21 77.06 ns	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> ) 2.02 3.46 2.97 ns
(mg Ni kg <sup>-1</sup> ) Ni <sub>0</sub> (0) Ni <sub>1</sub> (25) Ni <sub>2</sub> (50) LSD P<0.05 Growing media VAM+	Root weight (g) 1.78 1.82 2.14 ns 1.98	weight (g) 0.26 0.13 0.11 ns 0.20	(m/g) 63.50 47.00 54.17 ns 54.33	content (%) 75.35 75.21 77.06 ns 77.18	(mg CO	2 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> ) 2.02 3.46 2.97 ns 3.42

# Table 3b

The effect of mycorrhiza and different Ni doses on some plant properties of vetch

Mycorrhiza	Doses of Ni	Leaf chl. content	Plant shoot weight (g)	Root weight (g)	Single Root weight (g)	Root length (m/g)
VAM+	Ni <sub>0</sub>	12.59	1.92	1.96	0.36	60.33
	Ni <sub>1</sub>	11.47	1.68	1.71	0.11	47.67
	Ni <sub>2</sub>	10.57	2.18	2.28	0.13	55.00
LSD P<0.05		ns	*	*	ns	ns
VAM-	Ni <sub>0</sub>	9.51	1.61	1.60	0.16	66.67
	Ni <sub>1</sub>	7.57	1.82	1.92	0.14	46.33
	Ni <sub>2</sub>	7.70	2.02	1.99	0.10	53.33
LSD P<0.05		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Mycorrhiza	Doses of Ni	Leaf relative wa- ter content (%)	Soil resp. rate (mgCO <sub>2</sub> 100g soil 24 h <sup>-1</sup> )	Vesic. rate (%)	Arb. rate (%)	Hypha rate (%)
VAM+	Ni <sub>0</sub>	79.01	2.61	22.23	0	13.34
	Ni <sub>1</sub>	77.53	3.22	20.01	0	0
	Ni <sub>2</sub>	75.00	4.43	26.68	0	0
LSD P<0.05		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
VAM-	Ni <sub>0</sub>	71.69	1.42	22.23	0	0
	Ni <sub>1</sub>	72.90	3.70	20.01	0	0
	Ni <sub>2</sub>	79.12	1.51	26.68	0	0
LSD P<0.05		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

The highest values for the third of Ni doses and in the first media were obtained (the number of mycorrhizal vesicule rate %13.34, plant height 2.10 g, shoot weight 2.14 g and leaf relative water content %77.06). As you can be seen that the highest plant parameters (except plant high) in this study were obtained from VAM + application and Ni<sub>2</sub> dose(Table 3a,3b).

Therefore, we can be recommended that this plant can be used for phytoremediators of Ni stress in contaminated soils. Although the mechanisms that enable mycorrhizal plants to tolerate of heavy metal toxicity are not well known, it seems that the mycorrhizal fungus Glomus mossea decreases the translocation of Ni to various structures of the plant. Thereby at the tolerance mecanism of vetch inoculated with *Glomus mosseae* toward Ni toxicity can be increase.

Plant stress caused by excessive concentration of heavy metals is alleviated by mycorrhizal fungi (Rivera-Becerril et al. 2002, Shen et al. 2006). Mycorrhizal fungi may increase the tolerance of plants to heavy metals through the following mechanisms: immobilization of heavy metals by compounds secreted by the fungus, precipitation in polyphosphate granules in the soil, adsorption in fungal cell walls, and chelating of metals inside the fungus (Gother and Paszkowski 2006).

In this study is striking aspected that rate of vesicule, arbuscule and hyphe were differently effected on Ni contaminated. For example; the highest rate of mycorrhizal vesicule (13.34%) was obtained by the highest dose of nickel and also in the other doses were obtained rate of mycorrhizal vesicule (in first dose was obtained 11.12%, in the second dose was obtained 10.01%). Unlike the mycorrhizal arbuscule didn't obtain (0%) any of the doses of Nickel. The formations of hyphae were obtained only the first dose (6.67%) of Nickel. Because, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi propagate by spores, hyphae, and colonized root fragment, and the relative importance of these different propagules varies depending on the environmental conditions (Smith and Read 1997).

In addition to this may be due to higher electrical conductivity in contaminated soils resulting in greater availability of soluble metals, leading to increase AM fungalmediated uptake by plants (Raju et al. 1990; Liao et al. 2003), and the effect of heavy metals on mycorrhizal occurrence and infectivity seems to be more of a function of the available rather than total content in the soil (El-Kherbawy et al. 1989).

It was found that mycorrhizal inoculation was more successful in contaminated soil with Ni. Becauase at  $Ni_3$  dose has been obtained the highest infection rate of mycorrhiza.

Furthermore, no sign of toxicity was observed in vetch plant. More detailed further studies are needed on vetch to investigate the use of this plant in decontaminating with Ni polluted soils through VAM inoculation.

#### 3. Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank to the Selcuk University BAP for their support. And we also thank to Prof. Dr. Ibrahim ORTAS (Cukurova University, Faculty of Agriculture, Soil Management Department, Turkey) for providing the Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (*G.Mosseae*) used in the study.

#### 4. References

- Barrs HD, Weatherley PE (1962). A re-examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficit in leaves. *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences* 15:413-428.
- Chaudhry TM, Hill L, Khan AG, Kuek C (1999). Colonization of iron and zinc-contaminated dumped ltercake waste by microbes, plants and associated mycorrhizae. In: Wong, M.H., Wong, J.W.C., Baker, A.J.M. (Eds.), Remediation and Management of Degraded Land. *CRC Press LLC*, Boca Raton, Chap. 27, pp. 275-283.
- Duek TA, Visser P, Ernst WHO, Schat H (1986). Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae decrease zinc toxicity to grasses growing in zinc-polluted soil. *Soil Biology* and Biochemistry 18:331-333.
- El-Kherbawy M, Angle JS, Heggo A, Chaney RL (1989). Soil pH, rhizobia and vesicular mycorrhizae inoculum effects on growth and heavy metal uptake of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 8:61–65.
- Galli U, Schuepp H, Brunold C (1994). Heavy metal binding by mycorrhizal fungi. Physiol. *Plantarum* 92: 364-368.
- Gerdeman JW, Nicolson TH (1963). Spores of Mychorriza Endogene Species. Extracted from Soil by Weh Sieving and Decanting. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 46: 235-244.
- Giovanneti M, Mosse B (1980). An Evaluation of Techniques for Measuring Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Infection in Roots. *New Phytologist* 84: 489-500.
- Gother V, Paszkowski U (2006). Contribution of the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis to heavy metal phytoremediation. *Planta* 223: 1115-111.
- Hrishikesh U, Panda SK, Bhattacharjee MK, Duttal S (2010). Role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in heavy metal tolerance in plants: prospects for phytoremidiation. *Journal of Phytolog* 2(7): 16–27.
- Isermeyer H (1952). Eine Einfache Methode zur Bestimmung der Karbonate im Boden, Z. Pflanzenern, Düng, Bodenkunde, 105-107.
- Jamal A, Ayub N, Usman M, Khan, AG (2002). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance zinc and nickel uptake from contaminated soil by soybean and lentil.

International Journal Phytoremediation 4(3):205-221.

- Ker K, Charest C (2010). Nickel remediation by AMcolonized sunflower. *Mycorrhiza* 20: 399–406.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN (1989). A modified prodecure for staing roots to detect VAM. *Mycological Research* 92: 486-505.
- Liao JP, Lin XG, Cao ZH, Shi YQ, Wong MH (2003). Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizae and heavy metals under sand culture experiment. *Chemosphere* 50: 847–853.
- Minguzzi C, Vergnano O (1948). II contenuto di nichel nelle ceneri di Alyssum bertolonii. Desv. Memorie Società Toscana Di Scienze Naturali Serie A 55: 49– 77.
- Ouziad F, Hildebrandt U, Schmelzer E, Bothe H (2005). Differential gene expressions in arbuscular mycorrhizal colonized tomato grown under heavy metal stress. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 162:634–649.
- Pawlowska TE, Blaszkowski J, Ruhling A (1996). The mycorrhizal status of plants colonizing a calamine spoil mound in southern Poland. *Mycorrhiza* 6: 499-505.
- Quilambo OA (2003). The vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2 (12): 539-546.
- Raju PS, Clark RB, Ellis JR, Maranville JW (1990). Effects of species of VA mycorrhizal fungi on growth and mineral uptake of sorghum at different temperatures. *Plant and Soil* 121:165–170.
- Raman N, Nagarajan N, Gopinathan S, Sambandan K (1993). Mycorrhizal status of plant species colonizing a magnesite mine spoil in India. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 16:76-78.
- Raman N, Sambandan S (1998). Distribution of VAM fungi in tannery e.uent polluted soils of Tamil Nadu, India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 60: 142-150.

- Rivera-Becerril F, Calantzis C, Turnau K (2002). Cadmium accumulation and buffering of cadmium-induced stress by arbuscular mycorrhiza in three *Pisum sativum* L. genotypes. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53: 1177-1185.
- Salt DE, Blaylock M, Kumar PBN, Dushenkov E, Ensley BB, Chet I, Raskin I (1995). Phytoremedation: A noble strategy for the removal of toxic metals from the environment using plants. *Biotechnology* 13: 468 – 474.
- Shen H, Christie P, Li X (2006). Uptake of zinc, cadmium and phosphorus by arbuscular mycorrhizal maize (*Zea mays* L.)from a low available phosphorus calcareous soil spiked with zinc and cadmium. *Environ Geochem Health* 28: 111-119.
- Sieverding E (1991). Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza management intropical agrosystems. Technical Cooperation, *Federal Repuplic of Germany Eschborn*, ISBN 3-88085-462.
- Smith SE, Read D J (1997). Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Academic Press, San Deigo, California.
- Tennat D (1975). A test of modified line intersect method of estimating root length. *Journal of Ecology* 63:995-1001.
- Turnau K, Mesjasz-Przybylowicz J (2003). Arbuscular mycorrhiza of *Berkheya coddii* and other Ni-hyperaccumulating members of Asteraceae from ultramafic soils in South Africa. *Mycorrhiza* 13:185-190.
- Vivas A, Biro B, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Barea JM, Azcon R (2006). Two bacterial strains isolated from a Zn-polluted soil enhance plant growth and mycorrhizal efficiency under Zn-toxicity. *Chemosphere* 62: 1523–1533.
- Weissenhorn I, Leyval C (1995). Root colonization of maize by a Cd-sensitive and a Cd-tolerant *Glomus* mosseae and cadmium uptake in sand culture. *Plant* Soil 175: 233-238.
- Zhao ZW, Ren LC, Li T, Li JP (2003). Arbuscular mycorrhizas in the dry hot valley of Jinsha River. *Acta Botanica Yunnanica* 25:199–204.